

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Physics 1450 Alexandia, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usoto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,763	12/06/2001	Jeffrey S. Larson	WAVE1100-1	2045
75	590 08/23/2006	EXAMINER		
Robert C. Kov		DAVIS, GEORGE B		
Meyertons, Hoo	od, Kivlin, Kowert & G	oetzel, P.C.		
P.O. Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78767-0398			2129	
		DATE MAIL ED: 09/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		LARSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	10/006,763 Examiner	Art Unit				
,	George Davis	2129				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	<u>ay 2006</u> .					
,	·					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-59 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/006,763

Art Unit: 2129

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-20 and 33-59 are directed to non-statutory subject matter the language of the claims are directed merely to an abstract idea that has no limitation to a practical application which produces a concrete, useful, and tangible result because claims 1-20 and 33-59 recite computer program per se (see specification, software program 240).

Claims 21-32 are also directed to non-statutory subject matter because the Federal Circuit also recognizes that the fact that a nonstatutory method is carried out on a programmed computer does not make the process claims statutory. <u>Grams</u>, 888 F. 2d at 841, 12 USPQ2d at 1829 (claim 16 ruled nonstatutory even though it was a computer-implemented process).

2. Applicant's arguments filed 5/30/06 has been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on page 20 that both Fed. Cir. cases applied to the term "generating an association". However, these two Fed. Cir. Cases do not consider a software process such as generating an association of any process a statutory subject

Application/Control Number: 10/006,763

Art Unit: 2129

matter especially when the claimed invention is silent about any tangible result that

comes after the generating step.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to George Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00

am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Vincent, can be reached on (571) 272-3080. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-3800.

August 19, 2006

GEORGE B. DAVIS

Page 3

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER